

JESUS WAS NOT “JEWISH”.

By: Arnold Kennedy.

A key deception that has diffused throughout most denominations relates to the following areas:

1. That Jesus was “Jewish”.
2. That “The Jews” are God’s chosen people.
3. The Jews are always Israelites, and the word “Jews” is a synonym for Israel.
4. “The Jew” and “The Jews” means the same thing.
5. That the racial term for ‘Jews’ [Judah] is the same as the territorial term for ‘Jews’ [Judean].
6. That “Jewish Law” means the Law given through Moses.
7. That Christianity and Judaism are complementary.

These ideas have “*crept in unawares*” into churches, and not one of them is valid. To look at this as a subject, we will make a comparison between what is claimed from a Zionist Internet source [<http://www.zionist/christa.htm>] and what the Bible says. We will break the Zionist paper up into sections for this purpose.

ZIONIST CLAIM ONE.

Are Christianity and Judaism different religions? I am here to tell you absolutely not. Because of thousands of years of teaching to the contrary, it is widely believed that the two are different faiths. There is much evidence to show that these beliefs are indeed false, and are the direct result of two thousand years of anti-Semitic teachings. I will keep this as simple as possible, as to get any deeper into this matter would require a greater understanding of Judaism for Christians, or a greater understanding of true Christianity for Jews.

ANSWER: Jesus did not believe this. There are seven references in the Gospels similar to Matthew 15:3, “*Why do ye also transgress the commandment of God by your tradition?*” which show that the ‘*commandments of God*’ were at variance with the teachings or laws of the Jews. In regard to the use of the word, “anti-Semitic”, we will see that many “The Jews” were not of the same origin as Jesus and eleven of His disciples. The two thousand years of so-called anti-Semitic teaching must then have carried on by Jesus from the past. Jesus was always in conflict with “The Jews”. Martin Luther is often quoted as being anti-Semitic, but his words on this subject are very much in line with those of Jesus, and so Jesus and Luther were in agreement about “The Jews”. A current Court case is in process in Australia where “Jewish” interests are accusing the Anglican Church of anti-Semitism because the Anglicans use the Bible, a supposedly anti-Semitic book to “The Jews”. There is a Zionist contradiction in claim three below where we will see Jewish praise for the Bible.

ZIONIST CLAIM TWO.

First of all, let's clear one thing up. Jesus was a Jew, is still a Jew, and will always be a Jew. Remember when God said someone of David's line would always be on the throne of Israel? Guess who that someone has been for the last two thousand years. People like to argue that Jesus is God, how could He also be part of the human culture? True, Jesus is God, but He chose to become man too. Now He lives in a glorified human body, just like we will. Jesus was born to a Jew, making Him also a Jew. Not only this, but Jesus followed Jewish Law, or the Torah, which is a whole other subject on why Gentile believers should do the same.

ANSWER: To respond to this it is necessary to distinguish between the following:

[a] The “Jew” as a descendant of Judah or the House of Judah. These are “Judahites” and are all Israelites.

[b] The Jews as residents of Judea. These are “Judeans” and may include proselytes of any race, most of these being non-Judaites, that is, not Israelites by race.

To say that Jesus was a Jew then is meaningless nonsense as a generality. We read in Hebrews 7:14, “*For it is evident that our Lord sprang out of Juda; of which tribe Moses spake nothing concerning priesthood*”. Here Jesus determines an Israelite tribe amongst whom he appeared.. He was “*born*” of a woman of the tribe of Judah but “*begotten*” [from the past] of the Father. So as far as racial descent is concerned, He was, “*having neither beginning of days, nor end of life*”-[Heb 7:3], and so Jesus did not descend from any human male. We cannot say biblically, “*Jesus was a Jew, is still a Jew, and will always be a Jew*”. Jewish Talmudic teaching is that a Jew is a person who has a Jewish mother, but the both Testaments show that genealogies are reckoned through the male side, i.e. a male begets a male down the line. Thus saying, “*Jesus was born to a Jew making Him also a Jew*” is totally false. We do not ascribe racial origin to God the Father and thus do not to God the Son. Where we read, “*Wherefore in all things it*

behaved him to be made like unto ["homoioo"] his brethren", this is how Jesus associates Himself those also born from above from an original sowing. Jesus became as one of Israelites. "For both he that sanctifieth and they who are sanctified are all of one: for which cause he is not ashamed to call them brethren"-Heb 2:11.

Jesus simply is not yet reigning upon the Throne of David as claimed above. In the parable of the vineyard, in Matthew 21, Jesus says, "When the lord therefore of the vineyard cometh, what will he do unto those husbandmen? They say unto him, He will miserably destroy those wicked men". Then we read, "And when the chief priests and Pharisees had heard his parables, they perceived that he spake of them. For this reason, those non-Israelite Jewish people presently occupying the vineyard in the State of Israel will be destroyed in the brightness of His coming.

Jesus spoke about "The Jews" as not being Israelites in John chapter 8:

1. *Ye neither know me, nor my Father:*
2. *Ye shall seek me, and shall die in your sins:*
3. *Whither I go, ye cannot come.*
4. *Ye are from beneath; I am from above:*
5. *Ye are of this world; I am not of this world.*
6. *"I know that ye are Abraham's seed" (sperma). [But they were not Abraham's children teknon or genetic lineage with the promise. "Seed" and "Children" have differing meanings]*
7. *Ye are of your father [pater or genetic father] the devil.*

Paul said "The Jews" were *contrary to all men*, that is they are continually exerting pressure against all of God's people. "All men" does not mean everyone else but "The Jews".

NOW THE IMPORTANT THING HERE IS TO NOTICE THE GREAT DANGER OF BLASPHEMY THERE IS IN SAYING JESUS WAS JEWISH. JESUS COULD HARDLY BE OF HIS FATHER THE DEVIL AS THE JEWISH LEADERS WERE! HE COULD NEVER BE ONE OF "THE JEWS" OR THE "SEED OF THE SERPENT". JESUS AND "THE JEWS" HAVE DIFFERING ORIGINS AND DIFFERING DESTINATIONS. AND OF COURSE, THOSE WITH THEIR FATHER BEING THE DEVIL COULD NOT BE GOD'S CHOSEN PEOPLE.

THE TORAH OR THE TALMUD?

The claim is made correctly, "Not only this, but Jesus followed Jewish Law, or the Torah", but Jewish Law either then or now is not the Torah, that is the books of Moses. The Talmud is traditional interpretation which is the complete antithesis of the Torah and thus is in direct opposition. To say these books say the same thing is pure deception and lies. **Christians have been encouraged to use the phrase, "Jewish Law" to make them think this refers to the Torah of the Old Testament. The phrase should never be used ever again unless it is used correctly as we see in Titus 1:14, "Not giving heed to Jewish fables, and commandments of men, that turn from the truth."** The Law of Moses was never "Jewish Law". Moses's Law was the Law given to Israel as a whole. Believers in Jesus should believe Moses and the prophets, Jesus says, but never believe one little piece of the "Jewish Law", the Talmud. Jesus called it "your law", when condemning the leaders of Jewry. These "commandments of men" are those which "turn from the Truth".

ZIONIST CLAIM THREE.

Have you taken a good look at your Bible lately? Have you stopped to notice that two-thirds of the Christian Bible is the Tenach, or Hebrew Bible? We serve the same God! As young Christians, we sing songs about father Abraham and about Isaac and Jacob, the Jewish patriarchs. They may not be the fathers of our nation, but they are the fathers of our faith. Now, you might say, "Well, what about the New Testament?" First of all, it is not a "new" anything. It is the fulfilment of the same testament or covenant that is in the Tenach. The Jews believe in a Messiah, they just believe that He has not come yet. Look into the books of the prophets in the Tenach. You will find them, as well as other books such as Psalms, full of prophecies of the coming Messiah.

ANSWER: In the second verse of this section there is the subtle change made from "Jewish" to "Hebrew". This is to try to wrongly link the two words together in peoples' minds again suggesting falsely that "The Jews" equates with "Israel". Here again we see deception in the calling of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob "Jewish patriarchs". Judah was only one tribe! These patriarchs are what the New Testament refers to as being "the fathers" of Israel. The patriarchs did not spawn, through Isaac, those who originated "from beneath". The use of a phrase like "Jewish patriarchs" is to create the idea in minds that "The Jews" are the Israel of the Bible when they never could be. The modern Jew is a degenerate seed of mixed racial origins through harlotary-[Jer.2:21], primarily with the descendants of Esau [Edom]. The Encyclopaedia Judaica agree that, "Modern Jewry is Edom". Of these God says, "they shall build, but I will throw down; and they shall call them, The border of wickedness, and, **the people against whom the LORD hath indignation for ever**"-[Malachi 1:4]. These tares can never turn into wheat, whether or not they profess to believe in Jesus or

not. Through Scripture we can read how the Tares associate with Israel and their poison influences greatly today.

Please note the Zionist contradiction between this section where Messiah is to come (future) and claim number two where the Messiah is ruling (present).

In regard to, "*The Jews believe in a Messiah*", Jewish writings today speak of Messiah being a corporate entity, not an individual person in the form of Jesus the Christ. Their view [and lies] are not found in the Law, the Psalms and the Prophets.. Where the claim is made, "*They may not be the fathers of our nation, but they are the fathers of our faith*", they ignore what Paul says in Acts 13:32-33, "*how that the promise which was made unto the fathers, God hath fulfilled the same unto us their children*". Therefore the promise that Jesus would be raised up unto Israel cannot be fulfilled in any non-Israelite people of any race who subscribe to Judaism or anything else, and especially to those of "The Jews" who are "*born from beneath*".

Note again that in their comment, "*they may not be the fathers of our nation, but they are the fathers of our faith*", they are denying that "the fathers" are their "Jewish" national fathers. They are saying they are not Israelites! They confirmed this when they told Jesus in John 8:33, they "*never were in bondage to any man*", that is, they were never in bondage as Israel was in Egypt.

ZIONIST CLAIM FOUR.

Jesus had to make a first trip to fulfil the curse on the Law. The Jews say that He is not the Messiah. Fine, through their unbelief the fullness of the Gentiles will be saved. Soon enough, however, we must realise, Christian and Jew alike, that our faith, except for the argument over Messiah's identity, is exactly the same. The true Christian regards the Tenach with as much reverence as he does the Christian scriptures.

ANSWER: The last sentence is very true, but the problem again is "what do they mean by 'The Jews' "? Is it the "true Jews" or the "false Jews". Jesus knew and pointed out in Revelation 2:9, "*I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Jews, and are not, but are the synagogue of Satan*" and in Rev 3:9, "*Behold, I will make them of the synagogue of Satan, which say they are Jews, and are not, but do lie*" Jesus is saying that there are false Jews who are of the synagogue of Satan. He also describes these as "*the wicked*". Jesus did not come "*to fulfil the curse on the law*" as claimed; the law has never been cursed. It is the Israelites who broke their law-covenant who were cursed when they transgressed that Law, and we read, "*Christ hath redeemed us from the curse of the law, being made a curse for us*"-[Gal. 3:13]. Redemption only can apply to Biblical Israelites. The "*fullness of the Gentiles*" does not have, and could not have, the meaning implied by the Zionists and the 'churches of all peoples'..

ZIONIST CLAIM FIVE.

Another point that must be cleared up is this: The term Christian may have been started with good intentions, but has become anti-Semitic over the years.

ANSWER: To reply to this a computer clip about the present "Jewish" meaning of anti-Semitic is entered.

ANTI-SEMITISM; It is pointed out that the United Nations Conventions have the effect of changing race into any group having an ethnic belief, religion, common customs, national origins, etc, so that in this context multi-racial Jews can now claim to be an ethnic group. "*Anti-Semitic*" now is made to refer to anything against the concept of this "Jewish" ethnic group. Anti-semitism is in no way what it is presented to be. The word, "Anti-semitism" was first printed as late as 1880, according to the 1901 Encyclopedia Judaica: Vol.1/641. It is a term that was created by Zionism, according to modern Jewish authority who state, "*Jews began in the 19th century to call themselves Hebrews and Israelites in 1860. This co-incides with the cry anti-Semitism*"-[Ency. Jud.1971,Vol.10:23]. Note the "*call themselves*" and what this means.

The New Zealand Jewish chronicle of Sept.1995 on page 15 quotes Historian Robert Wistrich who says, "*It dates back to 1879, the invention of a German journalist and writer who wanted to signify that anti-Semitism was not the same as traditional religious hatred of Jews, and therefore coined a phrase which had a racial connotation*". The word, "anti-Semitism" was first printed as late as 1880 according to the 1901 Jewish Encyclopaedia: Vol 1 P.641. The word is used as a cover-up by those claiming to be Israelites or Shemites, "*but who are not*"-[Rev 2:9]. These are who are known and identified as International Jewry today; they themselves state that "Modern Jewry is Edom".

To be anti-Semitic rightly means being against the descendants of Shem, the son of Noah, but not against the racially-mixed modern Jew. Biblical Israel are Shemites. Historically and biblically, there are peoples known as "Jews" who are not Shemites, and some of these others descend from the

other two sons of Noah, Japheth and Ham. They are not Israelites, but neither are some other Shemites.

Today we find a push for world government by these particular people, as usual through the socialist platform: From the *"Jewish Encyclopaedia Vol 11:P418. "Jews have been prominently identified with the modern Socialist movement from its very inception"* We also find evidenced the Communist ideal surfacing again in the United Nations Conventions. Very soon after the Communist revolution in Russia, the Jewish Chronicle of April 4: 1919 said: *"There is much in the fact that the ideals of Bolshevism are at many points consonant with the finest ideals of Judaism"*. The Encyclopedia Judaica [1971], Vol 16:1032 says: *"The modern term Zionism first appeared in the 19th Century...as the establishment of an organisation"*. Communism sought to eliminate all opposition to its control and objectives and we find a similar operation emerging today against those who would contravene the U.N. Conventions. Communism, Zionism and United Nations have a dominating "Jewish" content, as has the Roman Catholic Church through the Jewish-originated Jesuits. We will soon see more cries of anti-Semitism world-wide against those who oppose Edom in their war of extermination against Biblical Israel and Christianity.

From the presentation from Zionism we are examining, we can see the perspicacious nature of their secret war. They lie in wait even now. We read, *"that we henceforth be no more children, tossed to and fro, and carried about with every wind of doctrine, by the sleight of men, and cunning craftiness, whereby they lie in wait to deceive"*-[Eph 4:14]. Could any real Christian be other than against God's enemies. In Psalm 139:21 David said, *"I hate them with perfect hatred: I count them mine enemies"*. Jesus did the same in Luke 19:27 *"But those mine enemies, which would not that I should reign over them, bring hither, and slay them before me"*. "Hither" means to the same place as He was speaking and this happens at the second advent.

ZIONIST CLAIM SIX.

To get an understanding of this, let us look at the roots of this term. The Greek word Christos means anointed one. The same meaning as the word Meshiach in Hebrew. However, in English, we use both words, and therefore tend to think they are different. The literal translation of Yeshua HaMeshiach is Jesus the Messiah. The literal translation of Yesous Christos is Jesus the Messiah. Therefore, the term Christian is simply a term taken from the Greek Christianos, which means "follower of the Messiah". Unfortunately, over the years it has come to be a term that separates believers in Jesus from Jews, when the original usage was simply to mean that someone was a follower of the Jewish Messiah. It was adulterated from a different language than Hebrew, so it came to mean something different. To sum this section up: calling Him Jesus Christ, or Yesous Christos, or Yeshua HaMashiach are all exactly the same thing. Christos or Christ simply means Messiah, from a Greek root.

ANSWER: The word "messiah" is only translated as such in one chapter in the Old Testament and two chapters in the New testament-[KJV]. The paragraph above is fair enough apart from the phrases, *"Jewish Messiah"* and *"over the years it has come to be a term that separates believers in Jesus from Jews"*. Firstly, Jesus is the Redeemer of Israel and not that of "The Jews". There never is a suggestion about the tares being redeemed. Jesus said of "The Jews", *"Why do ye not understand my speech? even because ye cannot hear my word"*. If they could not hear, how could these non-Israelite people associating themselves with the word "Jewish" ever become converted since *"faith comes by hearing and hearing by the Word of God"*?-[Rom. 10:17]. As Jesus died to redeem His people, and as "The Jews" *from beneath* do not believe this has happened because they *cannot hear*, then how could "The Jews" ever be anything but separated from those who can hear and do believe? Each party are believing quite different things about the Messiah. Jesus said their doctrine was different in Matthew 16:11, *"Then understood they how that he bade them not beware of the leaven of bread, but of the doctrine of the Pharisees and of the Sadducees"*. The "Jewish" teaching is something to beware of whether it comes, or has come, through Zionist or Roman Catholic sources. Jesus confirmed their destiny when He told them, *"Ye shall seek me, and shall die in your sins: whither I go, ye cannot come"*--[John 8:13]. 'Cannot' means 'not ever'.

ZIONIST CLAIM SEVEN.

Therefore, Christians, or followers of the Messiah, are simply that. We are followers of the Jewish Messiah. Besides the fact of us believing that Jesus is that Messiah, our faith and religion are based, or should be, on exactly the same things as Judaism. This is where "religion" has gotten in the way. We are not part of a religion called Christianity. If you want to get technical, we are part of Judaism. When the Jews find their Messiah, they will still be Jews, their faith and religion will just be completed. Notice, it will be completed. not different. Other than the identity of Messiah, our faith is the same, our belief in God is the same. (Christians) are right, and Jesus is the Messiah, then they will realise it when He comes back. But in the meantime, we should realise that we serve the same God, and work together. As Christians, we must realise that the Jews do not need to be "changed", they just need one last thing added: the Messiah. And guess what, they know this too, they are waiting for Messiah also.

ANSWER: Quoting from a Jewish source, "For God's chosen race, the eyeball of God, ANYBODY which is not a Jew, is dirt, dung and rubbish. Don't let them fool you. They are no friends of ours and will never be". Thus it is a lie to say "that we serve the same God and work together". If we served the same God, God and the adversary would have to be the same. If these who call themselves "Jewish" do not believe the Messiah came in His incarnation and that, "And the Holy Ghost descended in a bodily shape like a dove upon him, and a voice came from heaven, which said, Thou art my beloved Son; in thee I am well pleased. Hear Him", then they are not prepared to, or cannot, "hear Him" in the slightest. Paul instructs, "Whose mouths must be stopped". The Apostle John makes this clear with sober words.

2 John 9-11 "Whosoever transgresseth, and abideth not in the doctrine of Christ, hath not God. He that abideth in the doctrine of Christ, he hath both the Father and the Son. If there come any unto you, and bring not this doctrine, receive him not into your house, neither bid him God speed: For he that biddeth him God speed is partaker of his evil deeds.

"Partaker of his evil deeds" is what a person does when, through holding other than the doctrine of Christ, they accept any of the following:

1. That Jesus was "Jewish"- of those Jesus said originated from their father the devil..
2. That the word "Jews" is a synonym for Israel when it is a multi-racial common-belief term.
3. That "Jewish Law" means the Law given through Moses when it is really the Talmud.
4. That Christianity and Judaism are complementary and are the same religion believing the same God.
5. That "The Jews" are God's chosen people.

God made no covenant with "The Jews" as the Zionist claim. Where we read in Romans 3, "What advantage then hath the Jew? or what profit is there of circumcision? Much every way: chiefly, because that unto them were committed the oracles of God", the 'oracles' are the *logion* and these were given to "The Jew" and not to "The Jews". In regard to "The Jew" (singular), Paul contrasts Israelites of the House of Judah with the Greek (speaking) Israelites of the House of Israel. God did not make a pact with the devil or any of the Jewish devil's seed who originated "from beneath". The covenant was made with, "My people Israel" of whom we read, "Look unto Abraham your father, and unto Sarah that bare you: for I called him alone, and blessed him, and increased him".

The Religion Of "The Jews".

A handful of examples are given below to demonstrate that the religion of "The Jews" is the Talmud, or the "tradition of the elders" which Jesus roundly condemned as making the Word of God as being of none effect. The Talmud is the reverse of both Old and New Testament teachings. Jewish writers tell us that "the teachings of the Talmud stand above all others" and that "the Talmud is *the beating heart of Jewry*". Rabbi Bokser tells us. "Judaism is not the religion of the Hebrew Bible" Rabbi Isaac M. Wise says, "The modern Jew is the product of the Talmud".

These "Jewish" claims are saying that the Talmud is superior to the Law of Moses. Thus they are actually saying that these are not the same. In all these Zionist claims there is not a mention of the Talmud, so we can see their attempted deception. The Talmud is what Jesus and Paul call "*the commandments of men*" and thus **all Christians should be aware that there is absolutely no scope for ever using the phrase, "Jewish Law" in connection with the Law of Moses.** Jesus makes a distinction between the Law of Moses and what He contrasts as, "your law. Jesus makes us free from the Law of Man, but not "*without Law to Christ*"-[1 Cor 9:21]. The problem relating to the conflict between the two systems is no less today than it was when the Pharisees sought to enforce their law or *the commandments of men*.. Jewry has managed to subvert almost every denomination according to their plan to obtain "Jewish" control of the world through the Christian Churches, the Vatican, the cults and through the agency of the United Nations, with all submitting to the Law of man. The Law of God is discounted by all of them as organisations, and they do not believe, "*Blessed are they that do his commandments, that they may have right to the tree of life, and may enter in through the gates into the city*" Rev 22:14.

Some Examples Of Jewish Beliefs From The Talmud.

"Thou shalt not cheat thy next brother, but the non-Jews are not our brethren, but as mentioned above, worse than dogs." Aurch Choszen Hamizpat (227).

"The Akum [i.e. non-Jews] who are not enemies of ours must not be killed directly. Nevertheless they must not be saved from danger of death. For example, if you see one of them fall into the sea, do not pull him out unless he promises to give you money." lora Dea (158, 1)

"But Akum were created for the sole end of ministering unto them (the Jews) day and night. Nor can they ever be relieved from this service." Midrash Talpioth (fol. 225d)

"A Jew must not associate himself with gentiles." Abhodah Zarah (22a)

"If a Jew enters into marriage with an Akum, or with his servant, the marriage is null. For they are not capable of entering into matrimony." Eben Haezer (44, 8).

"The sexual intercourse of a Goi is like to that of a beast". Sanhedrin (74b) Tosephoth.

"The seed of a Goi is worth the same as that of a beast". Kethuboth (3b)

"A maiden three years and a day may be acquired in marriage by coition."
Sanhedrin (55b).

"A Jew may violate but not marry a non-Jewish girl." Gad.Shas. (2:2)

"A Jew may do to a non-Jewess what he can do. He may treat her as he treats a piece of meat".Nadarine (20,b), Schulchan Aruch,-Chosen Hamiszpat (348).

"Unnatural connection is permitted to a Jew." Sanhedrin (58b) footnote 3.

"And he who desires that none of his vows made during the year shall be invalid, let him stand at the beginning of the year and declare, 'Every vow which I make in the future shall be null-(His vows are then invalid-)" Book of Nedarim (23A-23B).

"A Jew having made the 'prayer of Nedarim', is thus free to lie and picture himself in Court, even after making an "oath" on his holy book. Thus:"A Jew may perjure himself with a clear conscience". Kallah (lb, p. 18)

"If a goy kills a goy or a Jew, he is responsible; but if a Jew kills a goy he is NOT responsible."
Tosefta, Aboda Zara (8, 5).

"If a Jew is doing business with an Akum (non-Jew) and a fellow Jew comes along and defrauds the Akum, either by false measure, weight or number, he must divide his profit with his fellow Jew, since both had a part in the deal, and also in order to help him along." Choschen Hammischpat (183, 7. Hagah).

"A Jew may keep anything he finds which belongs to the Akum." Choschen Hammischpat (266, 1).

"If you send a messenger to collect money from an Akum and the Akum pays too much, the messenger may keep the difference. But if the messenger does not know about it, then you may keep it all yourself". Choschen Hammischpat (183, 7).

"Anyone who sells his farm to the Akum must be sent into exile." Iora Dea (334, 43).

"A Jew may rob a goy - that is, he may cheat him on a bill if unlikely to be perceived by him".
Schulchan Aruch, Choszen Hamiszpat (348).

"When a grown up man has intercourse with a little girl it is nothing" -Kethuboth (11a-11b).

"Money given by a man to a harlot to associate with his dog. Such an association is not legal adultery." Sotah (26b) footnote 7.

"Pederasty with a child below nine years of age is not deemed as pederasty with a child above that." Sanhedrin (55b-5a).

"Rab makes nine years the minimum; but if one committed sodomy with a child of lesser age, no guilt is incurred. Sanhedrin (55b-55a) footnote 2.

"How do we fight against our enemies: We do so by deception". (Zohar 1, 160a).

"A Jew may lie and perjure himself to condemn a Christian." (Baba Kama 113a).

"If a Jew is called upon to explain (to a goy) any part of the Rabbinic books he ought to give only a false explanation." (Libre David 37).

"The prayer of Nedarim (Kol Nidre) has the power to release from oath and one may declare "every oath which I may make in the future, shall be null". (Nedarim 23a-23b) (Kol Nidre).

"If a Jew kills a goy he (the Jew) is not responsible" or (held not to be guilty). (Tosefta Aboda Zara 8, 5).

A Jew may rob a goy". (Choszen Hamizpat 348).

END COMMENTS.

Through this paper discussion has not been made about the racial mixture there is right back to the sons of Judah. Judah married a Caananite wife and all the Jewish stream from that marriage is polluted blood and was condemned as "wicked" right from the start and for all time-[Gen.3:7]. They have no inheritance in the house of the Lord-[Zech.14:21 and Ezek. 47:19]. We can read about their wickedness through Scripture. Judas who betrayed Jesus was of this line. In all Judah had five sons-[2 Chron.2:4] producing thirty eight "Jewish" lines. The Pharez birth-right line of Judah came through Tamar-[Ruth 4:12],and these are the only Jews with Judah's birthright. This birthright is not the birthright of all Israel, but the royal dynasty of David came from this line. So when we add all these things up together, together with their descendants playing the harlot with Ishmaelites, Edomites, Caananites and others, we can see what "a ripe old mixture" the whole Jewish side is. So much so that when Jesus cursed the fig tree, [the national symbol of Judah], Jesus said the Jews would never be a national identity on their own ever again. The Jewish State of Israel cannot possibly be what they claim to be. In Jesus time, "The Jews" said, "We be not born of fornication", that is, they did not come from Judah and Tamar. So when we see the Christian church 'playing up" to everything "Jewish", especially to the non-Israelite Ashkenazim Jew, they are responding to seduction. But the true Jews from Judah, although few in number, still exist and the remnant of these will be gathered with the

remnant of the House of Israel under the leadership of the Ephraim and Manesseh, and from thence both Houses will go together to the inheritance land promised to the seed of Abraham. But Jesus said those wicked men occupying the 'vineyard', calling themselves Jews, would be cast out into outer darkness.